

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,200	09/16/2003	Kehsing J. Chou	SVL920030068US1	3889
46159 7590 11/27/2007 SUGHRUE MION PLLC USPTO CUSTOMER NO WITH IBM/SVL			EXAMINER	
			LY, CHEYNE D	
	NNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. NGTON, DC 20037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2168	
			·	
	•		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

1					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/664,200	CHOU ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
17	Cheyne D. Ly	2168			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailling date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		٠,			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on other	15, 2007				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28,31 and 32 is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-28,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  13. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  14. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  15. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  16. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  17. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  18. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to by the Examiner is objected to be the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All 'b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on October 05, 2007 has been entered.
- 2. The declaration under 37 C.F.R. 1.132 has been entered; therefore, the prior art of record has been withdrawn as necessitated by said declaration.
- 3. Claims 1-28, 31, and 32 are examined on the merits.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to

- consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 1-28, 31, and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
   Chang et al. (US 2002/0026443 A1) (Chang hereafter) in view of Chou et al. (US 6,370,541B1) (Chou hereafter).

## **MOTIVATION TO COMBINE**

7. Chang describes an invention to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2 (page 2, column 2, [0025]) and provide a flexible mechanism which can employ a combination of different types of search engines selectable by users (page 2, column 1, [0019] and [0020]). While, Chou describes there is a need in the art for an improved client/server framework for federated multi-search and update across heterogeneous datastores (column 4, lines 8-12) as directed to DB2 (column 5, lines 59-67). One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention would have been motivate by Chou to address the need in the art by providing multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2 and providing a flexible mechanism which can employ a combination of different types of search engines selectable by users as described by Chang.

### **PRIOR ART**

8. In regard to claim 1, Chang discloses a processor-implemented method of managing a persistent folder within a federated content management system that includes a plurality of local federated datastores, the method comprising:

Art Unit: 2168

a. Creating the persistent (page 12, [0169], especially lines 5-7 of the cited
paragraph) folder on a local federated datastore within the federated content management
system (page 6, [0087]-[0090], and pages 7-8, [0099]-[0107]);

Page 4

- b. Mapping the persistent folder includes a virtual entity a plurality of entities in the local federated datastores (page 2, [0027] and page 12, [0169], especially, "maps each individual persistent data items to its underlying representation in the datastore", and page 24, [0465], especially, "manages a virtual collection of DDOs 28").
- Updating (page 2, [0019]) the persistent federated folder by modifying a members list, and updating attributes of the persistent folder (page 6, [0088]-[0090]. It is noted that the cited methods of addMember and removeMember inherently modifies a members list.
- d. Deleting the persistent folder (page 14, [0232]). It is noted that Chang discloses the federated folder cited above as a type of "object." Therefore, the above citation of "the add, del, and update operations only affect the object in the persistent store" supports that "deleting the persistent federated folder" is inherent disclosed in the cited method.
- 9. However, Chang does not describe the limitation of "federated folder...wherein the persistent federated folder has the ability to save a federated searcher result..." Chou describes the claimed federated folder (column 106, lines 15-55, e.g.

  DKFederatedCollection, and column 113, line 29, to column 114, last line) exemplified by the instant specification.
- 10. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to make and use the system of Chang and Chou address the need in the art by providing multi-searching

and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2 and providing a flexible mechanism which can employ a combination of different types of search engines selectable by users.

- 2. In regard to claim 2, Chang in view of CHOU discloses modifying the members list comprises adding at least one new member (page 6, [0088]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 3. In regard to claim 3, Chang in view of CHOU discloses modifying the members list comprises removing at least one member (page 6, [0090]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 4. In regard to claim 4, Chang in view of CHOU discloses selecting items in the plurality of entities as a result of a search (page 5, [0077]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multisearching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.

Art Unit: 2168

Page 6

- 5. In regard to claim 5, Chang in view of CHOU discloses a user selecting items in the plurality of entities (page 5, [0072] and [0078]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multisearching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 6. In regard to claim 6, Chang in view of CHOU discloses an application selecting items in the plurality of entities (page 5, [0076]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 7. In regard to claim 7, Chang in view of CHOU discloses saving (pages 11-12, [0164][0165], especially the "set Pid(Pid aPid) method) a persistent identifier reference (page 5,
  [0080], ItemId in the disclosed table) in the persistent federated folder for each of the
  items in the entities selected as a result of the search (page 5, [0077]). Therefore, it
  would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of
  Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated
  folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of
  heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 8. In regard to claim 8, Chang in view of CHOU discloses saving (pages 11-12, [0164][0165], especially the "set Pid(Pid aPid) method) a persistent identifier reference (page 5, [0080], ItemId in the disclosed table) in the persistent federated folder for each of the

Art Unit: 2168

items in the entities selected as a result of the user (page 5, [0072] and [0078]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.

- 9. In regard to claim 9, Chang in view of CHOU discloses saving (pages 11-12, [0164][0165], especially the "set Pid(Pid aPid)" method) a persistent identifier reference (page
  5, [0080], ItemId in the disclosed table) in the persistent federated folder for each of the
  items in the entities selected as a result of the user (page 5, [0076]). Therefore, it would
  have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to
  utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to
  provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous
  datastores such as DB2.
- 10. In regard to claim 10, Chang in view of CHOU discloses deleting the persistent federated folder does not delete items referenced in the persistent federated folder (page 14, [0232]). It is noted that Chang discloses the federated folder cited above as a type of "object" (page 6, [0081]). The objects reference the entities (page 5, [0080]) in the digital library (DL) (page 1, [0012]-[0013]). Therefore, the above citation of "the add, del, and update operations only affect the object in the persistent store; they do not change the copy in main memory" supports that "deleting the persistent federated folder delete items referenced in the persistent federated folder" is inherent disclosed in the cited method. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to

modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.

- 11. In regard to claim 11, Chang in view of CHOU discloses the persistent federated folder contains at least one persistent federated folder (page 5, [0080]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 12. In regard to claim 12, Chang in view of CHOU discloses the persistent federated folder contains members from entities originating from heterogenous datastores (Abstract etc. and page 2, [0019]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 13. In regard to claim 13, Chang in view of CHOU discloses the persistent federated folder contains members from an entity of the persistent federated folder (page 5, [0077] and [0080]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.

Art Unit: 2168

14. In regard to claim 14, Chang in view of CHOU discloses the persistent federated folder integrates seamlessly within the federated content management system allowing a federated query to operate transparently (page 2, [0015]) with respect to a client (page 2, [0020]-[0027]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.

Page 9

- 15. In regard to claim 15, Chang in view of CHOU disclose the persistent federated folder system limits access rights of users according to users' general privileges (page 18, [0318]-[0319]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 16. In regard to claim 16, Chang in view of CHOU discloses providing administrative support for creating, mapping, and administering the persistent federated folder (page 27, [0519], to page 33, [0676], especially the Supporting classes beginning at [0522]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.
- 17. In regard to claims 17-28, Chang in view of CHOU discloses the above-cited method has been implemented in a computer program product and system (page 3, [0039], to page 4,

[0061]). Specific to claims 24-28, the recitation of "mean for..." has been interpreted as Applicant's attempt to invoke 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph. However, the instant disclosure does not specifically define the structures necessary for each "means for..." limitation. Therefore, the system cited above has been interpreted as comprising the respective "means for" limitations as exemplified by the instant specification (page 13, [0046], to page 17, [0060]).

18. In regard to claims 31 and 32, Chang in view of CHOU describes the federated folder is defined using a query expression executable in the federated datastore (page 24, [0465], especially, "manages a virtual collection of DDOs 28. The collection is a result set of a query submitted to the datastore. Each element of the collection does not materialize until a datastore fetch operation is executed"). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the disclosure of Chang to utilize the new release of Content Manager Version 8.2 comprising federated folders to provide multi-searching and updating capabilities across a combination of heterogeneous datastores such as DB2.

#### **CONCLUSION**

19. Patent applicants with problems or questions regarding electronic images that can be viewed in the Patent Application Information Retrieval system (PAIR) can now contact the USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center (Patent EBC) for assistance.

Representatives are available to answer your questions daily from 6 am to midnight (EST). The toll free number is (866) 217-9197. When calling please have your application serial or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. The Patent

Art Unit: 2168

Page 11

Electronic Business Center will notify applicants of the resolution of the problem within 5-7 business days. Applicants can also check PAIR to confirm that the problem has been corrected. The USPTO's Patent Electronic Business Center is a complete service center supporting all patent business on the Internet. The USPTO's PAIR system provides Internet-based access to patent application status and history information. It also enables applicants to view the scanned images of their own application file folder(s) as well as general patent information available to the public.

- 20. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO Call Center (UCC) at 800-786-9199. The USPTO's official fax number is 571-272-8300.
- 21. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to C. Dune Ly, whose telephone number is (571) 272-0716.
  The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8 A.M. to 4 P.M.
- 22. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tim V<sub>2</sub>, can be reached on (571) 272-3642.

C. Dune Ly

Primary Examin

11/21/07